



Docket No.: 026746.101-US02
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Craig A. Branch et al.

Confirmation No.: 9817

Application No.: 10/826,297

Group Art Unit: 3737

Filed: April 19, 2004

Examiner: J. Lamprecht

For: RADIO FREQUENCY SHIELD FOR
NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE
PROCEDURES

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Enclosed are the following items for filing in connection with the above-referenced Patent Application:

1. Interview Substance Statement;
2. Copy of Interview Summary mailed June 9, 2008; and
3. Return receipt postcard.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the fees filed, asserted to be filed or which should have been filed herewith (or with any paper hereafter filed in this application by this firm) to our Deposit Account No. 50-0740, under Docket No. 026746.101-US02. A duplicate copy of this paper is enclosed.


It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, if additional extensions of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this

application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required therefor (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to our Deposit Account No. 50-0740.

Dated: July 8, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By



Andrea G. Reister

Registration No.: 36,253

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20004-2401

(202) 662-6000

Attorney for Applicant



Docket No.: 026746.101-US02
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Craig A. Branch et al.

Confirmation No.: 9817

Application No.: 10/826,297

Group Art Unit: 3737

Filed: April 19, 2004

Examiner: J. Lamprecht

For: RADIO FREQUENCY SHIELD FOR
NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE
PROCEDURES

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Enclosed are the following items for filing in connection with the above-referenced Patent Application:

1. Interview Substance Statement;
2. Copy of Interview Summary mailed June 9, 2008; and
3. Return receipt postcard.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the fees filed, asserted to be filed or which should have been filed herewith (or with any paper hereafter filed in this application by this firm) to our Deposit Account No. 50-0740, under Docket No. 026746.101-US02. A duplicate copy of this paper is enclosed.


It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, if additional extensions of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this

application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required therefor (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to our Deposit Account No. 50-0740.

Dated: July 8, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By


Andrea G. Reister

Registration No.: 36,253

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20004-2401

(202) 662-6000

Attorney for Applicant



Docket No.: 026746.101-US02
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Craig A. Branch et al.

Application No.: 10/826,297

Confirmation No.: 9817

Filed: April 19, 2004

Art Unit: 3737

For: RADIO FREQUENCY SHIELD FOR
NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE
PROCEDURES

Examiner: J. Lamprecht

INTERVIEW SUBSTANCE STATEMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

On May 29, 2008, an attorney for the applicants conducted an interview with the Examiner concerning the pending claims in this application. Attached is a copy of an Interview Summary prepared by the Examiner, mailed on June 9, 2008, and received by the attorney for the applicants after the filing on June 12, 2008 of an amendment to the claims which was designed to address the issues discussed during the interview. The Examiner's Interview Summary accurately summarizes the substance of the May 29, 2008 interview.

Dated: July 8, 2008


Respectfully submitted,

By 
Andrea G. Reister

Registration No. 36,253
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004-2401
(202) 662-6000
Attorney for Applicants



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/826,297

04/19/2004

Craig A. Branch

026746.101-US02

9817

26853 7590 06/09/2008
COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP
ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING
1201 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2401

EXAMINER

LAMPRECHT, JOEL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3737

MAIL DATE


DELIVERY MODE

06/09/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Interview Summary

Application No.

10/826,297

Applicant(s)

BRANCH ET AL.

Examiner

JOEL M. LAMPRECHT

Art Unit

3737

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOEL M. LAMPRECHT.

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas D. Bradshaw.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-34.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed Objections of record and 101 issues with claim 33. Specifically, the objections with respect to the dependent claims adding only structure to method claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Joel M Lamprecht/

Examiner, Art Unit 3737

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required